PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:		PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
<u> </u>		
Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/ISA/220		
PCT/GB2004/003009 International filing date 12.07.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 11.07.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification A61B5/087	n and IPC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Applicant MICRO MEDICAL LTD	 - <u>-</u>	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the fold Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bl applicability; citations and explanation Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international applicability.	s.1(a)(i) with regards s supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
If a demand for international preliminary examination is written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to International Bureau under Rule 68.1 bis(b) that written of will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where approximanths from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	g Authority ("IPEA"), be the IPEA and the pinions of this Inten written opinion of the porists, with amenda	However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited to sent a before the expiration of three
For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		

Pohjamo, T

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-577

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

10/563891

IAP20 Report FCT PTO 09 JAN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERMATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003009

	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the International application in nguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	K	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	na CC	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional spies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERL'ATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003009

Box No. II	Priority
------------	----------

- 1.

 The following document has not been furnished:
 - Sopy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
 - translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bls.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

- 2.

 This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bls.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Tes. Ciallis

No: Claims 1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9

1-9

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Form PCTAPEA/237 (January 2004)

10/563891 IAP20 Residence 09 JAN 2006

WP, TEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003009

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 98/14115 A (SIERRA BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANY L) 9 April 1998

(1998-04-09)

D2: US 6 015 388 A (INMAN D MICHAEL ET AL) 18 January 2000 (2000-01-18)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

Apparatus (Fig.1) for determining respiratory muscle endurance of a person (apparatus in D1 is sultable for that), which apparatus comprises a mouthpiece (4, Fig.1) through which the person inspires (p.12 lines 10-11), load-providing means (2) for providing a pressure against which the person inspires, and pressure control means (2, 14; p.12 lines 23-25) for controlling the pressure, the pressure control means being such that it controls the pressure in response to a breathing pattern of the person (p.14 lines 2-6).

- 2.2 Also document D2 discloses the subject-matter of claim 1 (col.1 lines 15-27; col.11 lines 31-36; where the device is suitable for determining respiratory muscle endurance, and also suitable for providing and controlling a pressure against which the person inspires). Therefore the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9

Dependent claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).